



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,640	10/07/2005	Peter Kammerhofer	64223(52059)	9136
21874	7590	03/15/2010	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP			KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
P.O. BOX 55874			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02205			1621	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
03/15/2010	PAPER			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/552,640	KAMMERHOFER ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
SUDHAKAR KATAKAM	1621	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) SUDHAKAR KATAKAM. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Nicholas DiCeglie, Jr. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 March 2010

**Time:** 1:15 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*103(a) made on 12/10/09*

Claims discussed:

*4-6 and 12-13*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sudhakar Katakam/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed the following amendments to the claims to the applicants' agent:

- cancel withdrawn claims;
- incorporate claim 6 into claim 4.
- incorporate missing "Brief Description of Drawings" in the specification.